

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/712,142	11/15/2000	Reinhard Ebner	1488.0630002/KRM	4465	
75	90 06/28/2002				
	Goldstein & Fox PL	EXAMINER			
Suite 600 1100 New York N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3934			ROMEO, DAVID S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647	4	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	Ø	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-2.*								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/712,142	EBNER ET AL.					
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jegatheesan Sehara	<del>`</del>					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe - Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	OMMUNICATION. he provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period we bried for reply will, by statute, aree months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, n within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tin ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.				
Status  1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 31 M	fay 2002						
<ul><li>1)  Responsive to communication</li><li>2a)  This action is FINAL.</li></ul>		s action is non-final.						
, —	,—		I matters, prosecution as to	the merits is				
closed in accordance with  Disposition of Claims				are mente to				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are reject	cted.							
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject t	o restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,—								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		s have been received	1					
_ '	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	-		peen received in this Nation	al Stage				
	the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	ar otago				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I ce of Informal Patent Application (I er:					

Application/Control Number: 09/712,142

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-3 and 18 are drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide, a vector and a host cell, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
  - II. Claims 14-17 and 19 are drawn to a protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - III. Claim 20 is drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
  - IV. Claim 21 is drawn to a method of treatment by administering antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
  - V. Claim 22 is drawn to a method of treatment by administering polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 158.1.
  - VI. Claim 23 is drawn to a method of diagnosing, classified in class 424, subclass 9.341.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, for the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, and III are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. The polynucleotide of invention I can be used to make a hybridization probe or can be used in gene therapy as well as in the production of the protein of interest.

The polypeptide of invention II can be used as a probe or used therapeutically or

Application/Control Number: 09/712,142

Art Unit: 1647

diagnostically, e.g. in screening. The antibody of invention III can be used to obtain the polynucleotide of Group I, and can also be used in diagnostics, e.g. as a probe in immunoassays. In addition, the searches are not coextensive for these products.

Inventions I and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention I can be used in gene therapy or in production of the recombinant protein.

Inventions I and III-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions II and (III, IV and V) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention II can be used in assays for the identification of aganoist and antaganoist of the polypeptide.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Application/Control Number: 09/712,142

Art Unit: 1647

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention III can be used in the screening of the expression libraries.

Inventions III and (I V and VI) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions IV-VI are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

In addition, the searches are not coextensive for these inventions. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1647

- 2. The claims of Groups I -II are drawn to multiple sequences (SEQ ID NO: 1-2 and fragments). Each of the different sequences are independent and distinct because no common structural or functional properties are shared. Accordingly, these sequences are each subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121. Regardless of the Group elected, Applicant is additionally required to elect a single sequence, which if determined to be patentable, would also be patentably distinct from the other sequences. This requirement is made under 1192 O.G.68 Notice (November 19, 1996), as examination of more than one sequence in one application would result in an undue burden on the PTO.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Romeo whose telephone number is 305-4050.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 703-308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.